

# Noncommutative D-branes from Covariant AdS Superstring

Makoto Sakaguchi<sup>a</sup> and Kentaroh Yoshida<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Okayama Institute for Quantum Physics  
1-9-1 Kyoyama, Okayama 700-0015, Japan  
makoto\_sakaguchi@pref.okayama.jp*

<sup>b</sup> *Theory Division, Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies,  
High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK),  
Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan.  
kyoshida@post.kek.jp*

## Abstract

We explore noncommutative D-branes in the  $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$  background from the viewpoint of  $\kappa$ -invariance of a covariant open string action in the Green-Schwarz formulation. Boundary conditions to ensure the  $\kappa$ -invariance of the action lead to possible configurations of noncommutative D-branes. With this covariant method, we derive configurations of 1/4 BPS noncommutative D-branes. The resulting D-branes other than D-string are 1/4 BPS at any places, and the D-string is exceptional and it is 1/2 BPS at the origin and 1/4 BPS outside the origin. All of them are reduced to possible 1/4 BPS or 1/2 BPS AdS D-branes in the commutative limit or the strong magnetic flux limit. We also apply the same analysis to an open superstring in the pp-wave background and derive configurations of 1/4 BPS noncommutative D-branes in the pp-wave. These D-branes consistently related to AdS D-branes via the Penrose limit.

# 1 Introduction

Noncommutative geometry in string theory has been well studied from the novel work of Seiberg and Witten [1]. When D-branes are considered in the presence of a constant NS-NS two-form  $B$ , a low-energy effective theory on the world-volume is realized as a field theory on a noncommutative space. The constant two-form flux may be taken as a magnetic flux on the world-volume. D-branes with constant fluxes (i.e. gauge field condensates on the branes) are often referred to as noncommutative D-branes. Noncommutative D-branes in flat spacetime have been well studied (for example, see [2–4]), but it seems not to be the case for those on curved backgrounds. Here we are interested in AdS-branes with gauge field condensates, which are interesting objects to study in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence [5].

An AdS-brane gives a defect in the field theory side, and another field theory [6], which is called defect conformal field theory (dCFT), is realized on the defect [7]. When magnetic or electric flux is turned on through the AdS-brane worldvolume, the flux should deform the dCFT and it is interesting to study the resulting theory. For example, in the recent study of the AdS/CFT duality at a far-from BPS region, an integrable open spin chain appears in the analysis of the dCFT [8] <sup>1</sup>. When the flux is turned on, it may be interpreted as a boundary magnetic field of the open spin chain. For this purpose, as the first step, we will study noncommutative D-branes in the  $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$  and pp-wave backgrounds <sup>2</sup>.

In a series of our previous works we showed the power of  $\kappa$ -invariance of type IIB superstrings in a covariant classification of possible D-branes in  $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$  [12, 13] (For a short review see [14]) and in the pp-wave [15] <sup>3</sup>. The  $\kappa$ -invariance of an open string requires that surface terms under the  $\kappa$ -variation should be deleted by imposing some additional conditions [22], which lead to the classification of the possible D-branes. This procedure has some advantages in comparison to the brane probe analysis with Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) action on the AdS and the pp-wave [18]. First, it is obvious that we do not need to analyze each of DBI actions of  $Dp$ -branes. All we have to consider is just a string action. Secondly, our procedure is covariant and does not depend on the light-cone gauge. Finally we can show that the classification is valid at “*full order*” of the fermionic variables [13]. This procedure is also applicable to Dirichlet branes for an

---

<sup>1</sup>For other open spin chains, see [9] for D3-D7-O7 and [10] for a giant graviton.

<sup>2</sup>Noncommutative D-branes in the pp-wave background are also discussed in [11]

<sup>3</sup>For a classification of possible 1/2 BPS D-branes on a pp-wave with the light-cone gauge, see [16–21]

open supermembrane on the  $\text{AdS}_{4/7} \times S^{7/4}$  background [23, 24] and the pp-wave [25, 26], and D-branes [27] of type IIA pp-wave string [28]. These results are consistently related via the double dimensional reduction [29].

In this paper we explore noncommutative D-branes in the  $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$  background by analyzing a covariant type IIB string action in the Green-Schwarz formulation [30]. We apply the procedure developed in our previous papers to the case with a constant two-form  $\mathcal{F}$  composed of  $B$  and the field strength on the D-brane. The boundary conditions to ensure that surface terms under the  $\kappa$ -variation vanish are shown to lead to possible noncommutative D-branes.<sup>4</sup> As the result, we find 1/4 BPS noncommutative D-branes. The D-branes other than D-string are 1/4 BPS anywhere, but the D-string is exceptional and it is 1/2 BPS at the origin and 1/4 BPS outside the origin. All of them are reduced to possible 1/4 BPS or 1/2 BPS AdS D-branes in the commutative limit or the strong magnetic flux limit. We also apply the same analysis to an open superstring in the pp-wave background and derive configurations of 1/4 BPS noncommutative D-branes in the pp-wave. These are consistently related to noncommutative AdS D-branes via the Penrose limit [31].

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we shall introduce a covariant action of a type IIB open string in the  $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$  background in the Green-Schwarz formulation, which includes a constant two-form  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then we write down surface terms under the  $\kappa$ -variation of the action, which play an important role in determining possible configuration of noncommutative D-branes. In section 3, we discuss noncommutative D-branes in flat spacetime. The well-known results obtained in the different methods can be reproduced and this justifies the consistency of our method with the others. In section 4, we discuss 1/4 BPS noncommutative D-branes in  $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ . In section 5, after introducing a covariant action of a pp-wave superstring with a constant two-form, we find 1/4 BPS noncommutative D-branes in the pp-wave. Section 6 is devoted to a summary and discussions.

## 2 Open AdS superstring with a constant two-form

In this section we shall introduce the covariant action of the type IIB string on the  $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$  background [30], including a constant two-form. The action has the  $\kappa$ -symmetry

---

<sup>4</sup>In this paper, we consider surface terms up to the fourth order in  $\theta$ . We expect that the result is valid even in the full order as was proven in [13] for the commutative case.

which ensures the consistency of the theory. When we consider an open string rather than a closed string, the  $\kappa$ -variation of the action gives surface terms and so we need to impose some boundary conditions in order to preserve the  $\kappa$ -symmetry [22]. These conditions restrict possible configurations of D-branes in  $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$ . We will derive the surface terms here, and the boundary conditions will be considered in section 3 and 4.

## 2.1 The action of AdS superstring

The action of type IIB superstring on a supergravity background is given by

$$S = \int_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma [\mathcal{L}_{\text{NG}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}}] , \quad (2.1)$$

where the Nambu-Goto (NG) part is <sup>5</sup>

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{NG}} = -\sqrt{-g(X, \theta)} , \quad (2.2)$$

$$g_{ij} = E_i^M E_j^N G_{MN} = E_i^A E_j^B \eta_{AB} , \quad E_i^A = \partial_i Z^{\widehat{M}} E_{\widehat{M}}^A , \quad Z^{\widehat{M}} = (X^M, \theta^{\bar{\alpha}}) , \quad (2.3)$$

and the Wess-Zumino (WZ) part consists of the two parts <sup>6</sup>:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}}^0 + \mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}}^1 , \quad (2.4)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}}^1 = -2i \int_0^1 dt \widehat{E}^A \bar{\theta} \Gamma_A \sigma \widehat{E} , \quad (2.5)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}}^0 = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} e_i^A e_j^B \mathcal{F}_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \partial_i X^M e_M^A \partial_j X^N e_N^B \mathcal{F}_{AB} , \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\widehat{E} = E|_{\theta \rightarrow t\theta}$  and  $\sigma = \sigma_3$  for an F-string while  $\sigma = \sigma_1$  for a D-string. We will focus upon the F-string case hereafter. The two-form field strength  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined by  $\mathcal{F} = B - da$  where  $a$  is a gauge field on the D-brane.

For the case of the  $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$  we are interested in, the supervielbein is given by [12]

$$E^A = e^A + i\bar{\theta}\Gamma^A \left( \frac{\sinh(\mathcal{M}/2)}{\mathcal{M}/2} \right)^2 D\theta , \quad E^\alpha = \left( \frac{\sinh \mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{M}} D\theta \right)^\alpha , \quad (2.7)$$

where we have introduced the following quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^2 &= i\lambda \left( \widehat{\Gamma}_A i\sigma_2 \theta \cdot \bar{\theta} \Gamma^A - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{AB} \theta \cdot \bar{\theta} \widehat{\Gamma}^{AB} i\sigma_2 \right) , \\ D\theta &= d\theta + \frac{\lambda}{2} e^A \widehat{\Gamma}_A i\sigma_2 \theta + \frac{1}{4} \omega^{AB} \Gamma_{AB} \theta , \\ \widehat{\Gamma}_A &\equiv (-\Gamma_a \mathcal{I}, \Gamma_{a'} \mathcal{J}) , \quad \widehat{\Gamma}_{AB} \equiv (-\Gamma_{ab} \mathcal{I}, \Gamma_{a'b'} \mathcal{J}) , \\ \mathcal{I} &= \Gamma^{01234} , \quad \mathcal{J} = \Gamma^{56789} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

---

<sup>5</sup>We follow the notation used in [12].

<sup>6</sup>For alternative superstring actions in  $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$ , see [32–34] (see also [35]). For classical integrability of AdS superstring [36] in [34], see [37].

Here  $e$  and  $\omega$  are the vielbein and the spin connection, respectively, on the  $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$  background.

In the presence of a non-trivial constant  $\mathcal{F}$  along the D-brane world-volume (i.e.,  $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}} = \text{const.}$  and others = 0), the boundary condition for Neumann directions is modified and becomes a mixed boundary condition. The resulting boundary condition is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n X^N e_N^{\bar{A}} + \partial_t X^N e_N^{\bar{B}} \mathcal{F}_{\bar{B}}^{\bar{A}} &= 0, & \bar{A}_i \ (i = 0, \dots, p) &\in \text{Neumann} \\ \partial_t X^N e_N^{\bar{A}} &= 0, & \underline{A}_i \ (i = p+1, \dots, 9) &\in \text{Dirichlet} \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where  $\partial_t$  and  $\partial_n$  are derivatives on  $\partial\Sigma$  tangential and normal to the D-brane world-volume, respectively. In the limit for a magnetic flux,  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \infty$ , Neumann directions  $(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$  are replaced by Dirichlet directions. On the other hand, for an electric flux  $F$ , no dimensional reduction of the D-brane occurs because the range of the electric flux is restricted as  $0 \leq \mathcal{F} \leq 1$ .

## 2.2 The $\kappa$ -variation of the open string action

According to our procedure explained in the introduction, let us examine the surface terms under the  $\kappa$ -variation of the type IIB string action on  $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$  up to fourth order in  $\theta$ . For the case with  $\mathcal{F} = 0$ , the vanishing conditions on the surface terms were examined in [12].

To extract the surface terms of the fourth order in  $\theta$  from  $S_{\text{WZ}}^{\text{B}} \equiv \int_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma \mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}}^0$ , we need to know the expression of the  $\kappa$ -variation up to fourth order in  $\theta$ . The  $\kappa$ -variation  $\delta_{\kappa} E^A = \delta_{\kappa} Z^{\widehat{M}} E_{\widehat{M}}^A = 0$  in this background is given as [13]

$$\delta_{\kappa} X^M e_M^A = -(1 + H + H^2 + \dots)^A_B E_{\bar{\alpha}}^B \delta_{\kappa} \theta^{\bar{\alpha}}, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$H^A_B = -i\bar{\theta}\Gamma^A \left( \frac{\sinh \mathcal{M}/2}{\mathcal{M}/2} \right)^2 [D\theta]_N e_B^N, \quad E_{\bar{\alpha}}^A = i\bar{\theta}\Gamma^A \left( \frac{\sinh \mathcal{M}/2}{\mathcal{M}/2} \right)^2. \quad (2.11)$$

It is easy to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\kappa} X^M e_M^A &= -i\bar{\theta}\Gamma^A \delta_{\kappa} \theta - \frac{i}{12} \bar{\theta}\Gamma^A \mathcal{M}^2 \delta_{\kappa} \theta \\ &\quad - \bar{\theta}\Gamma^A \left( \frac{\lambda}{2} \widehat{\Gamma}_B i\sigma_2 \theta + \frac{1}{4} \omega_B^{CD} \Gamma_{CD} \theta \right) \bar{\theta}\Gamma^B \delta_{\kappa} \theta + O(\theta^6). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

By using this expression, we obtain the  $\kappa$ -variation surface term of  $S_{\text{WZ}}^{\text{B}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\kappa S_{\text{WZ}}^{\text{B}} = & \int_{\partial\Sigma} d\xi \partial_t X^M e_M^A \mathcal{F}_{AB} \\ & \times \left[ -i\bar{\theta}\Gamma^B \delta_\kappa \theta - \frac{i}{12} \bar{\theta}\Gamma^B \mathcal{M}^2 \delta_\kappa \theta \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{\lambda}{2} \bar{\theta}\Gamma^B \hat{\Gamma}_C i\sigma_2 \theta \bar{\theta}\Gamma^C \delta_\kappa \theta - \frac{1}{4} \bar{\theta}\Gamma^B \Gamma_{CD} \theta \omega_E^{CD} \bar{\theta}\Gamma^E \delta_\kappa \theta \right] + O(\theta^6) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

It is known that the  $\kappa$ -variation of the total action  $S$  gives surface terms only. In particular the NG part produces no surface term, and so the  $\kappa$ -variation surface terms emerge only from the WZ part.

The surface terms under the  $\kappa$ -variation of the WZ term are given by

$$\delta_\kappa S_{\text{WZ}}| = \int_{\partial\Sigma} d\xi \left[ \mathcal{L}^0 + \mathcal{L}^\lambda + \mathcal{L}^{\text{spin}} \right] , \quad (2.14)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^0 = & -i\partial_t X^M e_M^A (\bar{\theta}\Gamma_A \sigma \delta_\kappa \theta + \mathcal{F}_{AB} \bar{\theta}\Gamma^B \delta_\kappa \theta) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\theta}\Gamma^A \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta}\Gamma_A \sigma \partial_t \theta + \bar{\theta}\Gamma_A \sigma \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta}\Gamma^A \partial_t \theta) , \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^\lambda = & \partial_t X^M e_M^A \left[ -\frac{\lambda}{2} (\bar{\theta}\Gamma_A \sigma \hat{\Gamma}_C i\sigma_2 \theta + \mathcal{F}_{AB} \bar{\theta}\Gamma^B \hat{\Gamma}_C i\sigma_2 \theta) \bar{\theta}\Gamma^C \delta_\kappa \theta \right. \\ & + \frac{\lambda}{4} (\bar{\theta}\Gamma^B \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta}\Gamma_B \hat{\Gamma}_A \sigma i\sigma_2 \theta + \bar{\theta}\Gamma^B \sigma \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta}\Gamma_B \hat{\Gamma}_A i\sigma_2 \theta) \\ & \left. - \frac{i}{12} (\bar{\theta}\Gamma_A \sigma \mathcal{M}^2 \delta_\kappa \theta + \mathcal{F}_{AB} \bar{\theta}\Gamma^B \mathcal{M}^2 \delta_\kappa \theta) \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{\text{spin}} = & -\frac{1}{4} \omega_E^{CD} \partial_t X^M e_M^A \bar{\theta}\Gamma^E \delta_\kappa \theta (\bar{\theta}\Gamma_A \sigma \Gamma_{CD} \theta + \mathcal{F}_{AB} \bar{\theta}\Gamma^B \Gamma_{CD} \theta) \\ & + \frac{1}{8} \omega_D^{BC} \partial_t X^M e_M^D (\bar{\theta}\Gamma^A \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta}\Gamma_A \Gamma_{BC} \sigma \theta + \bar{\theta}\Gamma^A \sigma \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta}\Gamma_A \Gamma_{BC} \theta) \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{spin}}$  includes  $\omega$ -dependent terms,  $\mathcal{L}^\lambda$  includes  $\lambda$ -dependent (but  $\omega$ -independent) terms and  $\mathcal{L}^0$  includes  $\lambda$ -independent terms. These surface terms should vanish under some conditions to ensure the  $\kappa$ -invariance. From the next section, we will examine the conditions under which the surface terms vanish.

### 3 Noncommutative D-branes in flat spacetime

In this section we will examine the vanishing condition of  $\mathcal{L}^0$ . This condition leads to the classification of the possible noncommutative D-branes in flat spacetime, since the AdS metric is reduced to the flat spacetime metric when we consider the  $\lambda = 0$  case.

Noncommutative D-branes in the Green-Schwarz formulation have been already studied before [2–4], but our analysis here is covariant and so more general.

First, let us examine the first line of  $\mathcal{L}^0$ , which is the second order in  $\theta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & -i\partial_t X^M e_M^{\bar{A}} (\bar{\theta} \Gamma_{\bar{A}} \sigma \delta_\kappa \theta + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}} \bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{B}} \delta_\kappa \theta) \\ & = -i\partial_t X^M e_M^{\bar{A}} \left[ (1 + \mathcal{F})_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}} \bar{\theta}^1 \Gamma_{\bar{B}} \delta_\kappa \theta^1 - (1 - \mathcal{F})_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}} \bar{\theta}^2 \Gamma_{\bar{B}} \delta_\kappa \theta^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where we have used the boundary condition (2.9). We should carefully consider the gluing conditions for the fermionic variable  $\theta$  at boundaries in order to see that the surface terms vanish. For this purpose we define the following matrix,

$$M \equiv g_0 \Gamma^{\bar{A}_0 \cdots \bar{A}_p} \prod_{n=1}^{[(p+1)/2]} (g_n + h_n \Gamma^{\bar{a}_{2n-1} \bar{a}_{2n}}), \quad (3.2)$$

and demand

$$\theta^2 = M \theta^1. \quad (3.3)$$

Because  $\theta^1$  and  $\theta^2$  are Majorana-Weyl spinors,  $p$  must be odd,  $p = 1, 3, 5, \dots$ .

Let  $C$  be the charge conjugation matrix satisfying

$$(\Gamma^A)^T = -C \Gamma^A C^{-1}, \quad (3.4)$$

then one finds the following relation,

$$\bar{\theta}^2 = \bar{\theta}^1 C^{-1} M^T C = \bar{\theta}^1 M', \quad (3.5)$$

$$M' \equiv (-1)^{p+1+[\frac{p+1}{2}]} g_0 \prod_{n=1} (g_n - h_n \Gamma^{\bar{a}_{2n-1} \bar{a}_{2n}}) \Gamma^{\bar{A}_0 \cdots \bar{A}_p}. \quad (3.6)$$

If  $\bar{B} \in \{\bar{a}_{2\ell-1}, \bar{a}_{2\ell}\}$ , then we find that

$$M' \Gamma_{\bar{B}} M = -s g_0^2 \prod (g_n^2 + h_n^2 s_n) (g_\ell - h_\ell s_\ell + 2g_\ell h_\ell \epsilon_\ell)_{\bar{B}}^{\bar{C}} \Gamma_{\bar{C}} \quad (3.7)$$

where  $(\epsilon_\ell)_{\bar{a}_{2\ell-1}}^{\bar{a}_{2\ell}} = \eta^{\bar{a}_{2\ell} \bar{a}_{2\ell-1}}$ ,  $(\epsilon_\ell)_{\bar{a}_{2\ell}}^{\bar{a}_{2\ell-1}} = -\eta^{\bar{a}_{2\ell-1} \bar{a}_{2\ell}}$  and others are zero. Hence the vanishing condition of the first line of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  is

$$(1 + \mathcal{F})_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{B}} = (1 - \mathcal{F})_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{C}} \left( -s g_0^2 \prod_{n \neq \ell} (g_n^2 + h_n^2 s_n) (g_\ell^2 - h_\ell^2 s_\ell + 2g_\ell h_\ell \epsilon_\ell)_{\bar{C}}^{\bar{B}} \right). \quad (3.8)$$

For the case without an electric  $\mathcal{F}$ , since

$$\epsilon_\ell = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}_{2\ell-1}}^{\bar{a}_{2\ell}} \\ \mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}_{2\ell}}^{\bar{a}_{2\ell-1}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon_\ell \mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}_{2\ell-1} \bar{a}_{2\ell}} \quad (3.9)$$

and  $s_n = 1$ , (3.8) implies the following two equations:

$$1 = -sg_0^2 \prod_{n \neq \ell} (g_n^2 + h_n^2) (g_\ell^2 - h_\ell^2 - 2g_\ell h_\ell \mathcal{F}_{\ell}) , \quad (3.10)$$

$$\mathcal{F} = -sg_0^2 \prod_{n \neq \ell} (g_n^2 + h_n^2) (2g_\ell h_\ell \epsilon_\ell - (g_\ell^2 - h_\ell^2) \mathcal{F}) , \quad (3.11)$$

for  $1 \leq \ell \leq [\frac{p+1}{2}]$ . These are solved by

$$g_0 = \sqrt{-s} , \quad g_n = \cos \varphi_n , \quad h_n = \sin \varphi_n , \quad \mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}_{2n-1} \bar{a}_{2n}} = \tan \varphi_n \quad (3.12)$$

where  $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq \pi/2$ .

For the case with an electric  $\mathcal{F}$ , say  $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2}$  and  $\eta_{\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_1} = -\eta_{\bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_2} = -1$ , since

$$\epsilon_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2} \\ \mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}_2 \bar{a}_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon_1 \mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2} , \quad (3.13)$$

$s_1 = -1$  and  $s_n = 1$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), (3.8) implies

$$1 = -sg_0^2 \prod_{n \neq \ell} (g_n^2 + h_n^2 s_n) (g_\ell^2 - h_\ell^2 s_\ell - 2g_\ell h_\ell \mathcal{F}_{\ell}) , \quad (3.14)$$

$$\mathcal{F} = -sg_0^2 \prod_{n \neq \ell} (g_n^2 + h_n^2 s_n) (2g_\ell h_\ell \epsilon_\ell - (g_\ell^2 - h_\ell^2 s_\ell) \mathcal{F}) \quad (3.15)$$

for  $1 \leq \ell \leq [\frac{p+1}{2}]$ . These are solved by

$$g_0 = \sqrt{-s} , \quad (3.16)$$

$$g_1 = \cosh \varphi_1 , \quad h_1 = \sinh \varphi_1 , \quad \mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2} = \tanh \varphi_1 \quad (3.17)$$

$$g_n = \cos \varphi_n , \quad h_n = \sin \varphi_n , \quad \mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}_{2n-1} \bar{a}_{2n}} = \tan \varphi_n \quad (n \geq 2) , \quad (3.18)$$

where  $0 \leq \varphi_1 \leq \infty$  and  $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq \pi/2$  ( $n \geq 2$ ).

In both cases,  $M$  is written as <sup>7</sup>

$$M = \sqrt{-s} \exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{[(p+1)/2]} \varphi_n \Gamma^{\bar{a}_{2n-1} \bar{a}_{2n}} \right) \Gamma^{\bar{A}_0 \cdots \bar{A}_p} . \quad (3.19)$$

It is clear from this expression that a noncommutative  $Dp$ -brane is reduced to a commutative  $Dp$ -brane for  $\forall \varphi_n \rightarrow 0$ . On the other hand, it is reduced to a noncommutative

---

<sup>7</sup>For the case with an electric  $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2}$ , we have used  $\cosh \varphi_1 + \Gamma^{\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2} \sinh \varphi_1 = \exp(\varphi_1 \Gamma^{\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2})$ . Because  $\varphi_1 = \operatorname{arctanh} \mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2} = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2}}{1 - \mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2}}$ , we believe that the gluing matrix in the earlier literature is valid only for an electric  $\mathcal{F}$ .



D( $p-2$ )-brane for a magnetic  $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}_{2n-1}\bar{a}_{2n}} \rightarrow \infty$  ( $\varphi_n \rightarrow \pi/2$ ), while remains to be a non-commutative D $p$ -brane for an electric  $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2} \rightarrow 1$  ( $\varphi_1 \rightarrow \infty$ ).

It is convenient to rewrite the boundary condition  $\theta^2 = M\theta^1$  in the  $2 \times 2$  matrix form as follows:

$$\theta = \mathbf{M}\theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M^{-1} \\ M & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{M}^2 = 1. \quad (3.20)$$

Here the matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  is defined as

$$\mathbf{M} = \sqrt{-s} \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1} \varphi_n \Gamma^{\bar{a}_{2n-1}\bar{a}_{2n}} \sigma_3\right) \Gamma \rho \quad (3.21)$$

and then the matrix  $\rho$  is represented by  $2 \times 2$  Pauli matrices, according to the value of  $p$ ,

$$\rho = \begin{cases} \sigma_1 & \text{when } p = 1 \bmod 4 \\ -i\sigma_2 & \text{when } p = 3 \bmod 4 \end{cases}. \quad (3.22)$$

The range of the parameter  $\varphi_n$  depends on the type of the flux, namely magnetic or electric. For the magnetic flux case  $\varphi_n$  takes the value in the range of  $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq \pi/2$  and for the electric flux case it takes in  $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq \infty$ . As we have just seen above, the gluing matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  satisfies

$$\mathbf{M}' \Gamma_{\bar{A}} \sigma_3 + \Gamma_{\bar{A}} \sigma_3 \mathbf{M} + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}} (\mathbf{M}' \Gamma^{\bar{B}} + \Gamma^{\bar{B}} \mathbf{M}) = 0, \quad (3.23)$$

or equivalently

$$\mathbf{M}' (\Gamma_{\bar{A}} \sigma_3 + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}} \Gamma^{\bar{B}}) = -(\Gamma_{\bar{A}} \sigma_3 + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}} \Gamma^{\bar{B}}) \mathbf{M} \quad (3.24)$$

where  $\mathbf{M}'$  is defined as

$$\mathbf{M}' = C^{-1} \mathbf{M}^T C = -\mathbf{M}. \quad (3.25)$$

It is obvious from (3.24) that the first line of  $\mathcal{L}^0$  vanishes.

Then, by using (3.23), the second line of  $\mathcal{L}^0$ , the fourth order term in  $\theta$  vanishes as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\theta} \Gamma^A \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma_A \sigma + \bar{\theta} \Gamma^A \sigma \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma_A) \partial_t \theta \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}} (\bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{A}} \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{B}} + \bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{B}} \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{A}}) \partial_t \theta = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

where we have used

$$\bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{A}} \delta_\kappa \theta = -\bar{\theta} \mathbf{M} \Gamma^{\bar{A}} \delta_\kappa \theta = -\bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{A}} \delta_\kappa \theta = 0. \quad (3.27)$$

|                             |                                  |               |              |               |              |               |              |               |              |               |         |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------|
|                             | $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \infty$ |               |              |               |              |               |              |               |              |               |         |
|                             | NC D9                            | $\rightarrow$ | NC D7        | $\rightarrow$ | NC D5        | $\rightarrow$ | NC D3        | $\rightarrow$ | NC D1        | $\rightarrow$ | C D(-1) |
| $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$ | $\downarrow$                     |               | $\downarrow$ |               | $\downarrow$ |               | $\downarrow$ |               | $\downarrow$ |               |         |
|                             | C D9                             |               | C D7         |               | C D5         |               | C D3         |               | C D1         |               |         |

Table 1: The sequence of noncommutative D-branes in flat spacetime.

In summary we have discussed boundary conditions and constructed the gluing matrix. As the result we have found the well-known result that noncommutative  $Dp$ -branes with  $p = \text{odd}$  in flat spacetime. By taking a strong flux limit  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \infty$  for a magnetic case,  $Dp$ -branes are reduced to  $D(p-2)$ -branes. The commutative limit  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$  leads to the standard commutative D-branes. The sequence of them via the two limits is depicted in Table 1. All of these D-branes are  $1/2$  BPS. In the case of flat spacetime higher order terms than the fourth order in  $\theta$  do not appear and so our result is rigorous with respect to  $\theta$ .

## 4 Noncommutative D-branes in $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$

In this section, we examine  $\lambda$ -dependent terms  $\mathcal{L}^\lambda$  and  $\omega$ -dependent terms  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{spin}}$ . These terms include the parameter  $\lambda$ , which characterizes the AdS geometry. Hence the analysis here is intrinsic to the AdS case and the boundary conditions lead to a classification of possible noncommutative AdS-branes.

It is straightforward to see that by using (3.23),  $\mathcal{L}^\lambda$  is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[ \frac{\lambda}{2} \bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{C}} \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta} (\Gamma_{\bar{A}} \sigma_3 + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}} \Gamma^{\bar{B}}) \mathbf{M} \hat{\Gamma}_{\bar{C}} i \sigma_2 \theta \right. \\
& + \frac{\lambda}{4} \bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{B}} \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta} (\Gamma_{\bar{B}} \sigma_3 + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{B}\bar{C}} \Gamma^{\bar{C}}) \mathbf{M} \hat{\Gamma}_{\bar{A}} i \sigma_2 \theta \\
& + \frac{\lambda}{4} \bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\underline{B}} \sigma_3 \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma_{\underline{B}} \hat{\Gamma}_{\bar{A}} i \sigma_2 \theta \\
& \left. + \frac{i}{12} \bar{\theta} (\Gamma_{\bar{A}} \sigma_3 + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}} \Gamma^{\bar{B}}) \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M}^2 \delta_\kappa \theta \right] \partial_t X^M e_M^{\bar{A}}. \tag{4.1}
\end{aligned}$$

The first and the second lines in (4.1) vanish when

$$\mathbf{M} \hat{\Gamma}_{\bar{C}} i \sigma_2 \theta = \hat{\Gamma}_{\bar{C}} i \sigma_2 \theta, \tag{4.2}$$

which ensures that the third line vanishes as

$$\bar{\theta} \Gamma_{\underline{B}} \hat{\Gamma}_{\bar{A}} i \sigma_2 \theta = -\bar{\theta} \Gamma_{\underline{B}} \mathbf{M} \hat{\Gamma}_{\bar{A}} i \sigma_2 \theta = -\bar{\theta} \Gamma_{\underline{B}} \hat{\Gamma}_{\bar{A}} i \sigma_2 \theta = 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Among odd  $p$ , we find that this is satisfied for  $p = 1$ . Noting that

$$[\varphi_1 \Gamma^{\bar{a}_1 \bar{a}_2} \sigma_3, \hat{\Gamma}_{\bar{C}} i \sigma_2] = 0 \quad (4.4)$$

is satisfied by (2,0)- and (0,2)-branes, we find that (4.2) is satisfied by the D1-branes. It is straightforward to see that the fourth line vanishes for the D1-branes. As we will see soon,  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{spin}}$  vanishes for these branes sitting at the origin, and thus we find that noncommutative D1-branes, (0,2) and (2,0), sitting at the origin are 1/2 BPS.

To proceed further, we shall introduce an additional gluing matrix  $\mathbf{M}_0$  satisfying  $\mathbf{M}_0^2 = 1$ ,

$$\mathbf{M}_0 = \ell_0 \Gamma^{\bar{A}_0 \dots \bar{A}_p} 1, \quad \ell = \begin{cases} \sqrt{-s} & \text{for } p = 1 \bmod 4 \\ \sqrt{s} & \text{for } p = 3 \bmod 4 \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

and demand  $\theta = \mathbf{M}_0 \theta$ . It satisfies

$$\mathbf{M}'_0 = C^{-1} \mathbf{M}_0^T C = (-1)^{p+1 + [\frac{p+1}{2}]} \mathbf{M}_0. \quad (4.6)$$

The gluing matrices,  $\mathbf{M}$  and  $\mathbf{M}_0$ , commute each other i.e.,  $[\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}_0] = 0$ , and hence the branes obtained below are 1/4 BPS. Here we should note that the most general 1/4 projections are not always written in terms of mutually commuting gluing matrices only. Hence 1/4 BPS noncommutative D-branes we are considering is a part of possible 1/4 BPS D-branes.

By using the gluing condition  $\theta = \mathbf{M}_0 \theta$ , we can derive the following equations:

$$\bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{C}} \delta_\kappa \theta = \bar{\theta} \mathbf{M}'_0 \Gamma^{\bar{C}} \mathbf{M}_0 \delta_\kappa \theta = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

when  $p = 3 \bmod 4$ , and

$$\bar{\theta} (\Gamma_{\bar{A}} \sigma_3 + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}} \Gamma^{\bar{B}}) \mathbf{M} \hat{\Gamma}_{\bar{C}} i \sigma_2 \theta = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

when  $p = 1(3) \bmod 4$  and  $d, d' = \text{odd}(\text{even})$ . Here  $d(d')$  is the number of Dirichlet directions in  $\text{AdS}_5(S^5)$ , respectively. It follows from these equations that the first and the second lines in (4.1) vanish when one of the followings is satisfied

- $p = 3 \bmod 4$ ,
- $p = 1 \bmod 4$  and  $d = \text{odd}$ .

On the other hand, by noting that

$$\bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{C}} \sigma_3 \delta_\kappa \theta = 0 \quad \text{when } p = 1 \bmod 4, \quad (4.9)$$

$$\bar{\theta} \Gamma_{\bar{B}} \hat{\Gamma}_{\bar{A}} i \sigma_2 = 0 \quad \text{when } p = 1(3) \bmod 4 \text{ and } d, d' = \text{even}(\text{odd}), \quad (4.10)$$

we find the third line vanishes when one of the followings is satisfied

- $p = 1 \bmod 4$ ,
- $p = 3 \bmod 4$  and  $d, d' = \text{odd}$ .

In summary we find that the first, the second and the third lines vanish when  $d = \text{odd}$  and  $p = 1, 3 \bmod 4$ , namely we see that (even,even)-branes are possible.

Let us examine the fourth line. Noting that (4.7) and

$$\bar{\theta}\Gamma^{\bar{B}}\mathbf{M}\hat{\Gamma}_A\theta = 0 \quad \text{when } A \in N(D), p = 1(3) \bmod 4 \text{ and } d, d' = \text{odd}, \quad (4.11)$$

$$\bar{\theta}\Gamma^{\bar{B}}\mathbf{M}\Gamma_{\bar{A}\bar{B}}\theta = 0 \quad \text{when } p = 1 \bmod 4, \quad (4.12)$$

$$\bar{\theta}\Gamma^{\bar{B}}\mathbf{M}\Gamma_{\bar{A}\bar{B}}\theta = \bar{\theta}\Gamma^{\bar{B}}\mathbf{M}\Gamma_{\bar{A}\bar{B}}\delta_\kappa\theta = 0 \quad \text{when } p = 3 \bmod 4, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\bar{\theta}\hat{\Gamma}^{\bar{A}\bar{B}}i\sigma_2\delta_\kappa\theta = 0 \quad \text{when } p = 1(3) \bmod 4 \text{ and } d, d' = \text{even(odd)}, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\bar{\theta}\Gamma^{\bar{A}\bar{B}}i\sigma_2\delta_\kappa\theta = \bar{\theta}\Gamma^{\bar{A}\bar{B}}i\sigma_2\delta_\kappa\theta = 0 \quad \text{when } p = 1(3) \bmod 4 \text{ and } d, d' = \text{odd(even)}, \quad (4.15)$$

we find the fourth line vanishes for (even,even)-branes.

Next, we examine  $\omega$ -dependent terms,  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{spin}}$ , which are rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4}\omega_E^{CD}\partial_t X^M e_M^{\bar{A}} \bar{\theta}\Gamma^{\bar{E}}\delta_\kappa\theta \bar{\theta}(\Gamma_{\bar{A}}\sigma_3 + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}}\Gamma^{\bar{B}})\mathbf{M}\Gamma_{CD}\theta \\ & - \frac{1}{8}\omega_D^{BC}\partial_t X^M e_M^{\bar{D}} \bar{\theta}\Gamma^{\bar{A}}\delta_\kappa\theta \bar{\theta}(\Gamma_{\bar{A}}\sigma_3 + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{E}}\Gamma^{\bar{E}})\mathbf{M}\Gamma_{BC}\theta \\ & + \frac{1}{8}\omega_D^{BC}\partial_t X^M e_M^{\bar{D}} \bar{\theta}\Gamma^{\bar{A}}\sigma_3\delta_\kappa\theta \bar{\theta}\Gamma_{\bar{A}}\Gamma_{BC}\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Let us consider 1/2 BPS D1-branes, (2,0)- and (0,2)-branes, first. The vanishing condition for the first and the second lines is

$$\omega_E^{CD}\mathbf{M}\Gamma_{CD}\theta = \omega_E^{CD}\Gamma_{CD}\theta \quad (4.17)$$

which ensures that the third line vanishes. Since  $\omega_E^{CD} = 0$  (see Appendix B in [12]) and  $\mathbf{M}\Gamma_{\bar{C}\bar{D}} = \Gamma_{\bar{C}\bar{D}}\mathbf{M}$  for these branes, the first and the second lines vanish if  $\omega_E^{\bar{C}\bar{D}} = 0$ , i.e., if branes are sitting at the origin. As  $\bar{\theta}\Gamma_{\bar{A}}\Gamma_{\bar{B}\bar{C}}\theta = 0$  for these branes, the last line vanishes if  $\omega_D^{\bar{B}\bar{C}} = 0$ , i.e., if the branes are sitting at the origin. Thus (2,0)- and (0,2)-branes sitting at the origin are 1/2 BPS.

Next we consider 1/4 BPS D-branes. First we examine the first and the second lines. They vanish when  $p = 3 \bmod 4$  due to (4.7). For  $p = 1 \bmod 4$ , the terms proportional to  $\omega_E^{\bar{C}\bar{D}}$  vanish due to (4.12). Noting that  $\omega_E^{CD} = 0$ , we are left with the terms including  $\omega_E^{\bar{C}\bar{D}}$ . It is natural to expect that  $\omega_E^{\bar{C}\bar{D}} = 0$  for  $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{B}\bar{C}} \neq 0 \neq \mathcal{F}_{\bar{D}\bar{E}}$ . This implies that (4.17) is satisfied for  $p = 1 \bmod 4$ . Thus the first and the second lines vanish under

the configuration even outside the origin. Next we examine the last line. It vanishes for  $p = 1 \bmod 4$  due to (4.9). Since

$$\bar{\theta}\Gamma_{\underline{A}}\Gamma_{\underline{B}\underline{C}}\theta = 0 \quad \text{when } p = 3 \bmod 4 \quad (4.18)$$

and  $\omega_{\underline{D}}^{\underline{BC}} = 0$ , we are left with terms proportional to  $\omega^{\underline{B}\underline{C}}$ . By the same reasoning above, we derive

$$\omega_{\underline{D}}^{\underline{B}\underline{C}}\bar{\theta}\Gamma_{\underline{A}}\Gamma_{\underline{B}\underline{C}}\theta = \omega_{\underline{D}}^{\underline{B}\underline{C}}\bar{\theta}\mathbf{M}'\Gamma_{\underline{A}}\Gamma_{\underline{B}\underline{C}}\theta = -\omega_{\underline{D}}^{\underline{B}\underline{C}}\bar{\theta}\Gamma_{\underline{A}}\Gamma_{\underline{B}\underline{C}}\mathbf{M}\theta = 0, \quad (4.19)$$

so that the last line vanishes even for  $p = 3 \bmod 4$ . We note that  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{spin}}$  vanishes under the configuration even outside the origin.

Summarizing, we find 1/4 BPS noncommutative D-branes depicted in Table 2. (2, 0)- and (0, 2)-branes are 1/2 BPS when they are sitting at the origin, while 1/4 BPS when they move away from the origin.

| D1           | D3                  | D5           | D7    | D9     |
|--------------|---------------------|--------------|-------|--------|
| (2,0), (0,2) | (4,0), (0,4), (2,2) | (4,2), (2,4) | (4,4) | absent |

Table 2: 1/4 BPS noncommutative D-branes in  $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$

When  $\forall \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$ , 1/4 BPS noncommutative  $Dp$ -branes with  $p = 3 \bmod 4$  are reduced to 1/4 BPS commutative  $Dp$ -branes, while 1/4 BPS noncommutative  $Dp$ -branes with  $p = 1 \bmod 4$  become 1/2 BPS commutative  $Dp$ -branes because surface terms vanish without  $\mathbf{M}_0$  in this limit [12]. We summarize commutative D-branes sitting at the origin in Table 3.

| SUSY | D(-1) | D1           | D3                  | D5           | D7           | D9     |
|------|-------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| 1/2  | (0,0) | (2,0), (0,2) | (3,1), (1,3)        | (4,2), (2,4) | (3,5), (5,3) | absent |
| 1/4  |       |              | (4,0), (0,4), (2,2) |              | (4,4)        |        |

Table 3: Commutative D-branes in  $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$  sitting at the origin

## Penrose limit

Now let us discuss the Penrose limit [31] of the above branes. The Penrose limit is taken as follows [38]. First let us introduce the light-cone coordinates as  $X^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X^9 \pm X^0)$

and  $\theta_{\pm} = P_{\pm}\theta_{\pm}$  with  $P_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{+}\Gamma_{-}$ , and scale as

$$X^{+} \rightarrow \Omega^2 X^{+}, \quad \theta_{+} \rightarrow \Omega \theta_{+}. \quad (4.20)$$

Then the limit  $\Omega \rightarrow 0$  is taken. We distinguish the cases depending on the boundary conditions of the light-cone coordinate  $(X^{+}, X^{-})$  as (N,N) for  $X^{\pm} \in$  Neumann directions and (D,D) for  $X^{\pm} \in$  Dirichlet directions. We consider the Penrose limits of (N,N)- and (D,D)-cases. Then we see that the noncommutative AdS-branes obtained above are reduced to noncommutative D-branes in the pp-wave as shown in Table 4.

$$\begin{array}{ll} (4,0)_{\frac{1}{4}} \xleftarrow{\text{DD}} (4,0)_{\frac{1}{4}} \xrightarrow{\text{NN}} \times & (0,4)_{\frac{1}{4}} \xleftarrow{\text{DD}} (0,4)_{\frac{1}{4}} \xrightarrow{\text{NN}} \times \\ (2,2)_{\frac{1}{4}} \xleftarrow{\text{DD}} (2,2)_{\frac{1}{4}} \xrightarrow{\text{NN}} (+-; 1,1)_{\frac{1}{4}} & (4,4)_{\frac{1}{4}} \xleftarrow{\text{DD}} (4,4)_{\frac{1}{4}} \xrightarrow{\text{NN}} (+-; 3,3)_{\frac{1}{4}} \\ (2,0)_{\frac{1}{4}} \xleftarrow{\text{DD}} (2,0)_{\frac{1}{4}} \xrightarrow{\text{NN}} \times & (0,2)_{\frac{1}{4}} \xleftarrow{\text{DD}} (0,2)_{\frac{1}{4}} \xrightarrow{\text{NN}} \times \\ (4,2)_{\frac{1}{4}} \xleftarrow{\text{DD}} (4,2)_{\frac{1}{4}} \xrightarrow{\text{NN}} (+-; 3,1)_{\frac{1}{4}} & (2,4)_{\frac{1}{4}} \xleftarrow{\text{DD}} (2,4)_{\frac{1}{4}} \xrightarrow{\text{NN}} (+-; 1,3)_{\frac{1}{4}} \end{array}$$

Table 4: Penrose limit of noncommutative AdS-branes.

In the subsequent sections, we will discuss noncommutative D-branes in a pp-wave by studying the  $\kappa$ -invariance of a covariant string action on the pp-wave. We will see that the possible noncommutative D-branes are  $(+;- \text{odd, odd})$ - and  $(\text{even, even})$ -type configurations and all of them are consistently obtained in the Penrose limit considered above.

## 5 Noncommutative D-branes in the pp-wave

In this section we shall consider an open superstring in the maximally supersymmetric pp-wave background. D-branes in the pp-wave have been well studied and noncommutative D-branes in the pp-wave are also discussed in [11]. However, most of the earlier works are done in the light-cone gauge. As far as we know, there are no covariant studies of noncommutative D-brane in the pp-wave. Hence, by repeating the analysis in the case of the AdS superstring, let us classify possible noncommutative D-branes in the pp-wave. This classification is also our new result.

### 5.1 Open pp-wave superstring with a constant two-form

For the pp-wave background supervielbeins are given by [12]

$$E^A = e^A + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\theta} \Gamma^A \left( \frac{\sinh(\mathcal{M}/2)}{\mathcal{M}/2} \right)^2 D\theta, \quad E^{\alpha} = \left( \frac{\sinh \mathcal{M}}{\mathcal{M}} D\theta \right).$$

Here we have introduced several quantities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}^2 &= i\frac{\mu}{2}(f+g)i\sigma_2\theta \cdot \bar{\theta}\Gamma^- + i\frac{\mu}{2}\hat{\Gamma}_m i\sigma_2\theta \cdot \bar{\theta}\Gamma^m + i\frac{\mu}{2}\Gamma_r\Gamma_+\theta \cdot \bar{\theta}\Gamma^r f i\sigma_2 \\
&\quad - i\frac{\mu}{2}\Gamma_{r'}\Gamma_+\theta \cdot \bar{\theta}\Gamma^{r'} g i\sigma_2 - i\frac{\mu}{2}\Gamma_{mn}\theta \cdot \bar{\theta}\hat{\Gamma}^{mn} i\sigma_2, \\
D\theta &= d\theta + e^- \frac{\mu}{2}(f+g)i\sigma_2\theta + e^m \frac{\mu}{2}\hat{\Gamma}_m i\sigma_2\theta + e_*^m \frac{\mu^2}{2}\Gamma_m\Gamma_+\theta, \\
\hat{\Gamma}_m &= (-\Gamma_r\Gamma_+f, \Gamma_{r'}\Gamma_+g), \quad \hat{\Gamma}_{mn} = (-\Gamma_{rs}\Gamma_+f, \Gamma_{r's'}\Gamma_+g), \\
e^+ &= dX^+ - \frac{\mu^2}{2}(X^m)^2 dX^-, \quad e^- = dX^-, \quad e^m = dX^m, \quad e_*^m = X^m dX^-.
\end{aligned}$$

In this parametrization, the pp-wave metric becomes the standard form

$$ds^2 = 2e^+e^- + (e^m)^2 = 2dX^+dX^- - \mu^2(X^m)^2(dX^-)^2 + (dX^m)^2.$$

The WZ action in the case of the pp-wave is given by

$$S_{\text{WZ}} = \int_{\Sigma} d^2\sigma [\mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}}^0 + \mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}}^1] \quad (5.1)$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}}^0 = \epsilon^{ij}\partial_i X^M e_M^A \partial_j X^N e_N^B \mathcal{F}_{AB}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{WZ}}^1 &= \epsilon^{ij} \left[ -i\partial_i X^M e_M^A \bar{\theta}\Gamma_A \sigma D_j \theta - \frac{i}{12} \partial_i X^M e_M^A \bar{\theta}\Gamma_A \sigma \mathcal{M}^2 D_j \theta \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\theta}\Gamma^A D_i \theta \bar{\theta}\Gamma_A \sigma D_j \theta \right] + O(\theta^6). \quad (5.3)
\end{aligned}$$

The universal feature of  $\kappa$ -variation is

$$\delta_{\kappa} E^A = \delta_{\kappa} X^M E_M^A + \delta_{\kappa} \theta^{\alpha} E_{\alpha}^A = 0 \quad (5.4)$$

and for the pp-wave case it can be rewritten, at the fourth order of  $\theta$ , as

$$\delta_{\kappa} X^M e_M^A = -\frac{i}{2} \bar{\theta}\Gamma^A \delta_{\kappa} \theta - \frac{i}{24} \bar{\theta}\Gamma^A \mathcal{M}^2 \delta_{\kappa} \theta - \frac{1}{4} \bar{\theta}\Gamma^B \delta_{\kappa} \theta \bar{\theta}\Gamma^A (\varrho_B + \varrho_B^{\text{spin}}) \theta + O(\theta^6), \quad (5.5)$$

where  $\varrho_A$  and  $\varrho_A^{\text{spin}}$  are defined, respectively, by

$$\varrho_A = e_A^M \left( e_M^- \frac{\mu}{2}(f+g)i\sigma_2 + e_M^m \frac{\mu}{2}\hat{\Gamma}_m i\sigma_2 \right), \quad \varrho_A^{\text{spin}} = e_A^M \left( e_{*M}^m \frac{\mu^2}{2}\Gamma_m\Gamma_+ \right). \quad (5.6)$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$\varrho_- = \frac{\mu}{2}(f+g)i\sigma_2, \quad \varrho_m = \frac{\mu}{2}\hat{\Gamma}_m i\sigma_2, \quad \varrho_-^{\text{spin}} = \frac{\mu^2}{2}X^m\Gamma_m\Gamma_+, \quad (5.7)$$

and others vanish.

Under the  $\kappa$ -variation,  $S_{\text{NG}}$  does not produce a surface term. The surface terms under the  $\kappa$ -variation of  $S_{\text{WZ}}$  are obtained as

$$\delta_\kappa S_{\text{WZ}}| = \int_{\partial\Sigma} d\xi [\mathcal{L}^0 + \mathcal{L}^\mu + \mathcal{L}^{\text{spin}}] \quad (5.8)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^0 = & -i \left( \bar{\theta} \Gamma_A \sigma \delta_\kappa \theta + \mathcal{F}_{AB} \bar{\theta} \Gamma^B \delta_\kappa \theta \right) \dot{X}^M e_M^A \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \left( \bar{\theta} \Gamma^A \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma_A \sigma \dot{\theta} + \bar{\theta} \Gamma_A \sigma \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma^A \dot{\theta} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^\mu = & \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} \Gamma^C \delta_\kappa \theta (\bar{\theta} \Gamma_A \sigma \varrho_C \theta + \mathcal{F}_{AB} \bar{\theta} \Gamma^B \varrho_C \theta) \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{4} (\bar{\theta} \Gamma^B \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma_B \sigma \varrho_A \theta + \bar{\theta} \Gamma_B \sigma \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma^B \varrho_A \theta) \\ & \left. - \frac{i}{12} (\bar{\theta} \Gamma_A \sigma \mathcal{M}^2 \delta_\kappa \theta + \mathcal{F}_{AB} \bar{\theta} \Gamma^B \mathcal{M}^2 \delta_\kappa \theta) \right] \dot{X}^M e_M^A, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{\text{spin}} = & \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} \Gamma^C \delta_\kappa \theta (\bar{\theta} \Gamma_A \sigma \varrho_C^{\text{spin}} \theta + \mathcal{F}_{AB} \bar{\theta} \Gamma^B \varrho_C^{\text{spin}} \theta) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{4} (\bar{\theta} \Gamma^B \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma_B \sigma \varrho_A^{\text{spin}} \theta + \bar{\theta} \Gamma_B \sigma \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma^B \varrho_A^{\text{spin}} \theta) \right] \dot{X}^M e_M^A. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

In the next subsection we will examine these surface terms and determine boundary conditions under which these vanish.

## 5.2 1/4 BPS noncommutative D-branes in the pp-wave

In order to determine the boundary conditions for the fermionic variable  $\theta$ , we shall introduce the gluing matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  given in (3.21) and demand that  $\theta = \mathbf{M}\theta$  in the same way as in the AdS case. Since it satisfies (3.23),  $\mathcal{L}^0$  vanishes.

By using (3.23),  $\mathcal{L}^\mu$  can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{C}} \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta} (\Gamma_{\bar{A}} + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}} \Gamma^{\bar{B}}) \mathbf{M} \varrho_{\bar{C}} \theta \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{4} \bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{B}} \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta} (\Gamma_{\bar{B}} + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{B}\bar{C}} \Gamma^{\bar{C}}) \mathbf{M} \varrho_{\bar{A}} \theta \\ & + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\theta} \Gamma_{\bar{B}} \sigma_3 \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{B}} \varrho_{\bar{A}} \theta \\ & \left. + \frac{i}{12} \bar{\theta} (\Gamma_{\bar{A}} + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}} \Gamma^{\bar{B}}) \mathbf{M} \mathcal{M}^2 \delta_\kappa \theta \right] \dot{X}^M e_M^{\bar{A}} \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

where we have used

$$\bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{B}} \delta_\kappa \theta = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} (\mathbf{M}' \Gamma^{\bar{B}} + \Gamma^{\bar{B}} \mathbf{M}) \delta_\kappa \theta = 0. \quad (5.13)$$



The vanishing condition for the first and the second lines is

$$\mathbf{M} \varrho_{\bar{C}} \theta = \varrho_{\bar{C}} \mathbf{M} \theta , \quad (5.14)$$

which ensures that the third line vanishes. (5.14) means

$$\mathbf{M} \varrho_{\bar{m}} \theta = \varrho_{\bar{m}} \mathbf{M} \theta \quad (5.15)$$

for  $- \in D$ , while for  $- \in N$ , (5.15) and

$$\mathbf{M} \varrho_{-} \theta = \varrho_{-} \mathbf{M} \theta . \quad (5.16)$$

Among other  $p$ ,  $p = 1$  is the special case. For  $p = 1$  and  $- \in D$ , (5.15) is satisfied when

$$\varphi_n \Gamma^{\bar{a}_{2n-1} \bar{a}_{2n}} f = f \varphi_n \Gamma^{\bar{a}_{2n-1} \bar{a}_{2n}} \quad \text{and} \quad d = \text{even} , \quad (5.17)$$

where  $d$  ( $d'$ ) is the number of Dirichlet directions contained in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  ( $\{5, 6, 7, 8\}$ ), respectively. This means that the condition is satisfied by  $(2, 0)$ - and  $(0, 2)$ -branes. On the other hand, for  $- \in N$ , (5.16) implies that

$$\varphi_n \Gamma^{\bar{a}_{2n-1} \bar{a}_{2n}} (f + g) = -(f + g) \varphi_n \Gamma^{\bar{a}_{2n-1} \bar{a}_{2n}} \quad \text{and} \quad d = \text{even} , \quad (5.18)$$

which is not satisfied by the  $(+-)$ -brane. Thus we find that for  $(0, 2)$ - and  $(2, 0)$ -branes the first and the second lines vanish and so the third line vanishes. It is straightforward to see that the last line vanishes for these branes. As will be seen below,  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{spin}}$  vanishes for these branes sitting even outside the origin. Thus we find that  $(0, 2)$ - and  $(2, 0)$ -branes sitting anywhere are 1/2 BPS.

For 1/4 BPS branes<sup>8</sup>, we introduce an additional gluing matrix  $\mathbf{M}_0$  given in (4.5) which commutes with  $\mathbf{M}$ ,  $[\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}_0] = 0$ , and satisfies

$$\mathbf{M}'_0 = C^{-1} \mathbf{M}_0^T C = (-1)^{p+1+\lceil \frac{p+1}{2} \rceil} \mathbf{M}_0 . \quad (5.19)$$

First we examine the first and the second lines in (5.12). By using the boundary condition  $\theta = \mathbf{M}_0 \theta$ ,

$$\bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{B}} \delta_{\kappa} \theta = 0 \quad \text{for } p = 3 \bmod 4 \quad (5.20)$$

is derived and so they vanish for  $p = 3 \bmod 4$ . For  $p = 1 \bmod 4$ , since

$$\bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{B}} \mathbf{M} \varrho_{\bar{C}} \theta = -\bar{\theta} \mathbf{M}_0 \Gamma^{\bar{B}} \mathbf{M} \varrho_{\bar{C}} \theta = \bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{B}} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M}_0 \varrho_{\bar{C}} \theta \quad (5.21)$$

---

<sup>8</sup>We should note that 1/4 BPS branes we consider here is a part of general 1/4 BPS branes. The 1/4 projectors here are composed of two of mutually commuting gluing matrices only.

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_0 \varrho_- &= \frac{\mu}{2} ((-1)^d f + (-1)^{d'} g) i \sigma_2 \mathbf{M}_0 \\ \mathbf{M}_0 \varrho_{\bar{m}} &= \begin{cases} -(-1)^d \varrho_{\bar{r}} \mathbf{M}_0, & - \in D \\ 0 & - \in N \end{cases}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

they vanish when one of the followings is satisfied

- $p = 3 \bmod 4$ ,
- $p = 1 \bmod 4$ ,  $- \in D$  and  $d = \text{even}$ ,
- $p = 1 \bmod 4$ ,  $- \in N$  and  $d = \text{odd}$ .

Next, we examine the third line. It vanishes when  $p = 1 \bmod 4$  because

$$\bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\underline{B}} \sigma_3 \delta_\kappa \theta = 0 \quad \text{for } p = 1 \bmod 4. \quad (5.23)$$

For  $p = 3 \bmod 4$ , since

$$\bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\underline{B}} \varrho_{\bar{A}} \theta = \bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\underline{B}} \mathbf{M}_0 \varrho_{\bar{A}} \theta \quad (5.24)$$

and (5.22), the third line vanishes when one of the followings is satisfied

- $p = 1 \bmod 4$ ,
- $p = 3 \bmod 4$ ,  $- \in D$  and  $d = \text{even}$ ,
- $p = 3 \bmod 4$ ,  $- \in N$  and  $d = \text{odd}$ .

Summarizing we find that the first, second and third lines vanish for  $(+ -; \text{odd}, \text{odd})$ -branes and  $(\text{even}, \text{even})$ -branes.

It is straightforward to see that for these branes the fourth line vanishes.

Next we examine  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{spin}}$ , which is rewritten by using (3.23) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{1}{2} \bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{C}} \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta} (\Gamma_{\bar{A}} \sigma_3 + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}} \Gamma^{\bar{B}}) \mathbf{M} \varrho_{\bar{C}}^{\text{spin}} \theta \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{4} \bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\bar{B}} \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta} (\Gamma_{\bar{B}} \sigma_3 + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{B}\bar{C}} \Gamma^{\bar{C}}) \mathbf{M} \varrho_{\bar{A}}^{\text{spin}} \theta \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\theta} \Gamma_{\underline{B}} \sigma_3 \delta_\kappa \theta \bar{\theta} \Gamma^{\underline{B}} \varrho_{\bar{A}}^{\text{spin}} \theta \right] \dot{X}^M e_{\bar{M}}^{\bar{A}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

In the presence of  $\mathcal{F}$  on the D-brane world-volume, the spin connection  $\varrho_-^{\text{spin}} dX^- = \omega_-^{m+} dX^-$  may develop additional components  $\omega_-^{\bar{A}\bar{B}} dX^-$ . Even in this case, it is natural to expect that

$$[\varphi_n \Gamma^{\bar{a}_{2n-1} \bar{a}_{2n}}, \omega^{\bar{A}\bar{B}} \Gamma_{\bar{A}\bar{B}}] = 0 \quad (5.26)$$

as in the AdS case. For  $- \in D$ , it vanishes as  $\varrho_C^{\text{spin}} \neq 0$  only for  $C = -$ , so we consider the case with  $- \in N$  below. The first and the second lines vanish for  $p = 3 \bmod 4$  due to (5.20). Even for  $p = 1 \bmod 4$ , they vanish since we derive

$$\bar{\theta}(\Gamma_{\bar{A}}\sigma_3 + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}}\Gamma^{\bar{B}})\Gamma_{\bar{C}\bar{D}}\theta\omega^{\bar{C}\bar{D}} = -\bar{\theta}(\Gamma_{\bar{A}}\sigma_3 + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}}\Gamma^{\bar{B}})\Gamma_{\bar{C}\bar{D}}\mathbf{M}\theta\omega^{\bar{C}\bar{D}} = 0 , \quad (5.27)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\theta}(\Gamma_{\bar{A}}\sigma_3 + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}}\Gamma^{\bar{B}})\mathbf{M}\frac{\mu^2}{2}X^{\underline{m}}\Gamma_{\underline{m}}\Gamma_+\theta &= -\bar{\theta}\mathbf{M}_0(\Gamma_{\bar{A}}\sigma_3 + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{A}\bar{B}}\Gamma^{\bar{B}})\mathbf{M}\frac{\mu^2}{2}X^{\underline{m}}\Gamma_{\underline{m}}\Gamma_+\theta \\ &= 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

Next we examine the last line, which vanishes when  $p = 1 \bmod 4$  due to (5.23). For  $p = 3 \bmod 4$ , it vanishes since we derive assuming (5.26)

$$\bar{\theta}\Gamma^{\underline{B}}\Gamma_{\bar{C}\bar{D}}\theta\omega^{\bar{C}\bar{D}} = -\bar{\theta}\Gamma^{\underline{B}}\Gamma_{\bar{C}\bar{D}}\mathbf{M}\theta\omega^{\bar{C}\bar{D}} = 0 , \quad (5.29)$$

and

$$\bar{\theta}\Gamma^{\underline{B}}\frac{\mu^2}{2}X^{\underline{m}}\Gamma_{\underline{m}}\Gamma_+\theta = \bar{\theta}\Gamma^{\underline{B}}\mathbf{M}_0\frac{\mu^2}{2}X^{\underline{m}}\Gamma_{\underline{m}}\Gamma_+\theta = 0 . \quad (5.30)$$

Summarizing  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{spin}}$  vanishes for  $(+-; \text{odd}, \text{odd})$ -branes and  $(\text{even}, \text{even})$ -branes even if they are sitting outside the origin. Finally, it is obvious that  $(0,2)$ - and  $(2,0)$ -branes are  $1/2$  BPS even off the origin, because  $- \in D$  for these branes. As a result, we have obtained noncommutative D-branes summarized in Table 5.

| SUSY | D1           | D3                              | D5                                 | D7                | D9     |
|------|--------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------|
| 1/2  | (0,2), (2,0) |                                 |                                    |                   | absent |
| 1/4  |              | (4,0), (2,2), (0,4)<br>(+-;1,1) | (4,2), (2,4)<br>(+-;3,1), (+-;1,3) | (4,4)<br>(+-;3,3) |        |

Table 5: Noncommutative D-branes in the pp-wave

In the commutative limit  $\forall \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$ , noncommutative D-branes above are reduced to commutative D-branes. Combining the result obtained in the flat limit with that obtained in [12], we summarize commutative D-branes sitting at the origin of the pp-wave in Table 6.

| SUSY | D(-1) | D1           | D3                  | D5           | D7       | D9     |
|------|-------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|----------|--------|
| 1/2  | (0,0) | (0,2), (2,0) | (1,3), (3,1)        | (2,4), (4,2) |          | absent |
|      |       |              | (+-;0,2)            | (+-;1,3)     | (+-;2,4) |        |
|      |       |              | (+-;2,0)            | (+-;3,1)     | (+-;4,2) |        |
| 1/4  |       |              | (4,0), (2,2), (0,4) |              | (4,4)    |        |
|      |       |              | (+-;1,1)            |              | (+-;3,3) |        |

Table 6: Commutative D-branes in the pp-wave (sitting at the origin)

## 6 Summary and Discussions

We have considered some possible configurations of 1/4 BPS noncommutative D-branes in the  $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$  and the pp-wave. The 1/4 BPS noncommutative AdS-branes are allowed to exist at arbitrary position in the spacetime. The D-string case is exceptional and it is 1/2 BPS at the origin and 1/4 BPS outside the origin. We also have seen that all of them are reduced to 1/2 BPS and 1/4 BPS commutative AdS-branes in the commutative limit or the strong magnetic flux limit. The 1/4 BPS noncommutative D-branes in the pp-wave background have also been classified by applying the same analysis as in the AdS case to the pp-wave case. The resulting possible D-branes are consistently reproduced from the noncommutative AdS-branes via the Penrose limit.

It would be possible to see that the result shown in this paper is still valid at full order in  $\theta$  by following our previous paper [13], though the possible noncommutative D-branes have been classified at fourth order in  $\theta$ . This issue is more complicated and so we leave it as a future problem. We hope that we could report on this issue in the near future.

It is also interesting to consider the interpretation of our results before taking a near-horizon limit. According to the work of Skenderis and Taylor [18], an AdS-brane is surely related to a supersymmetric intersection of D-brane with a stack of  $N$  D3-branes. Hence our result may also be interpreted in terms of the intersecting D-branes. In particular, the T-dual picture would be related to an intersecting D-branes at angles [39]. For this direction, it would also be useful to generalize the work [18, 40] by including a constant two-form. There the relation to dCFTs is also discussed. As a generalization of our work, it would be interesting to consider an intersecting AdS D-branes (for an intersecting D-branes on a pp-wave, see [41]), though we have discussed a single AdS D-brane here.

As another generalization of our works, it would be interesting to consider oblique D-branes [42] with gauge field condensates in the pp-wave background as discussed in [43, 44].

It would also be nice to study the AdS origin of the oblique D-branes by following [45].

As an interesting application of our procedure, we can consider noncommutative M-branes. For noncommutative M-branes, the classification of the possible Dirichlet branes is drastically modified and one can see some interesting features. For this subject we will report in other papers soon [46, 47].

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank Y. Hikida and Y. Susaki for useful discussions. This work is supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 17540262 and No. 17540091) from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan. The work of K. Y. is supported in part by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

## References

- [1] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, “String theory and noncommutative geometry,” JHEP **9909** (1999) 032 [arXiv:hep-th/9908142].
- [2] C. S. Chu and P. M. Ho, “Noncommutative open string and D-brane,” Nucl. Phys. B **550** (1999) 151 [arXiv:hep-th/9812219].
- [3] C. S. Chu and F. Zamora, “Manifest supersymmetry in non-commutative geometry,” JHEP **0002** (2000) 022 [arXiv:hep-th/9912153].
- [4] I. Rudychev, “From noncommutative string/membrane to ordinary ones,” JHEP **0104** (2001) 015 [arXiv:hep-th/0101039].
- [5] J. M. Maldacena, “The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231 [Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38** (1999) 1113] [arXiv:hep-th/9711200];  
S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory,” Phys. Lett. B **428** (1998) 105 [arXiv:hep-th/9802109];  
E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space and holography,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253 [arXiv:hep-th/9802150].
- [6] A. Karch and L. Randall, “Localized gravity in string theory,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 061601 [arXiv:hep-th/0105108]; “Open and closed string inter-

- pretation of SUSY CFT's on branes with boundaries," JHEP **0106** (2001) 063 [arXiv:hep-th/0105132].
- [7] O. DeWolfe, D. Z. Freedman and H. Ooguri, "Holography and defect conformal field theories," Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 025009 [arXiv:hep-th/0111135];  
J. Erdmenger, Z. Guralnik and I. Kirsch, "Four-dimensional superconformal theories with interacting boundaries or defects," Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 025020 [arXiv:hep-th/0203020].
- [8] O. DeWolfe and N. Mann, "Integrable open spin chains in defect conformal field theory," JHEP **0404** (2004) 035 [arXiv:hep-th/0401041];  
Y. Susaki, Y. Takayama and K. Yoshida, "Open semiclassical strings and long defect operators in AdS/dCFT correspondence," Phys. Rev. D **71** (2005) 126006 [arXiv:hep-th/0410139]; "Integrability and higher loops in AdS/dCFT correspondence," Phys. Lett. B **624** (2005) 115 [arXiv:hep-th/0504209];  
K. Okamura, Y. Takayama and K. Yoshida, "Open spinning strings and AdS/dCFT duality," JHEP **0601** (2006) 112 [arXiv:hep-th/0511139];  
T. McLoughlin and I. J. Swanson, "Open string integrability and AdS/CFT," Nucl. Phys. B **723** (2005) 132 [arXiv:hep-th/0504203].
- [9] B. Chen, X. J. Wang and Y. S. Wu, "Integrable open spin chain in super Yang-Mills and the plane-wave / SYM duality," JHEP **0402** (2004) 029 [arXiv:hep-th/0401016];  
"Open spin chain and open spinning string," Phys. Lett. B **591** (2004) 170 [arXiv:hep-th/0403004];  
T. G. Erler and N. Mann, "Integrable open spin chains and the doubling trick in  $N = 2$  SYM with fundamental matter," JHEP **0601** (2006) 131 [arXiv:hep-th/0508064].
- [10] D. Berenstein and S. E. Vazquez, "Integrable open spin chains from giant gravitons," JHEP **0506** (2005) 059 [arXiv:hep-th/0501078];  
D. Berenstein, D. H. Correa and S. E. Vazquez, "Quantizing open spin chains with variable length: An example from giant gravitons," Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 191601 [arXiv:hep-th/0502172];  
A. Agarwal, "Open spin chains in super Yang-Mills at higher loops: Some potential problems with integrability," arXiv:hep-th/0603067.
- [11] C. S. Chu and P. M. Ho, "Noncommutative D-brane and open string in pp-wave background with B-field," Nucl. Phys. B **636** (2002) 141 [arXiv:hep-th/0203186].

- [12] M. Sakaguchi and K. Yoshida, “D-branes of covariant AdS superstrings,” Nucl. Phys. B **684** (2004) 100 [arXiv:hep-th/0310228].
- [13] M. Sakaguchi and K. Yoshida, “Notes on D-branes of type IIB string on  $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ ,” Phys. Lett. B **591** (2004) 318 [arXiv:hep-th/0403243].
- [14] M. Sakaguchi and K. Yoshida, “D-branes of covariant AdS superstrings: An overview,” arXiv:hep-th/0408208.
- [15] P. Bain, K. Peeters and M. Zamaklar, “D-branes in a plane wave from covariant open strings,” Phys. Rev. D **67** (2003) 066001 [arXiv:hep-th/0208038].
- [16] A. Dabholkar and S. Parvizi, “Dp branes in pp-wave background,” Nucl. Phys. B **641** (2002) 223 [arXiv:hep-th/0203231].
- [17] M. Billo and I. Pesando, “Boundary states for GS superstrings in an Hpp wave background,” Phys. Lett. B **536** (2002) 121 [arXiv:hep-th/0203028].
- [18] K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, “Branes in AdS and pp-wave spacetimes,” JHEP **0206** (2002) 025 [arXiv:hep-th/0204054].
- [19] P. Bain, P. Meessen and M. Zamaklar, “Supergravity solutions for D-branes in Hpp-wave backgrounds,” Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) 913 [arXiv:hep-th/0205106].
- [20] O. Bergman, M. R. Gaberdiel and M. B. Green, “D-brane interactions in type IIB plane-wave background,” JHEP **0303** (2003) 002 [arXiv:hep-th/0205183];  
M. R. Gaberdiel and M. B. Green, “The D-instanton and other supersymmetric D-branes in IIB plane-wave string theory,” Annals Phys. **307** (2003) 147 [arXiv:hep-th/0211122].
- [21] K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, “Open strings in the plane wave background. I: Quantization and symmetries,” Nucl. Phys. B **665** (2003) 3 [arXiv:hep-th/0211011];  
K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, “Open strings in the plane wave background. II: Superalgebras and spectra,” JHEP **0307** (2003) 006 [arXiv:hep-th/0212184];  
K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, “An overview of branes in the plane wave background,” Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) S567 [arXiv:hep-th/0301221];  
D. Z. Freedman, K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, “Worldvolume supersymmetries for branes in plane waves,” arXiv:hep-th/0306046.

- [22] N. D. Lambert and P. C. West, “D-branes in the Green-Schwarz formalism,” *Phys. Lett. B* **459** (1999) 515 [arXiv:hep-th/9905031].
- [23] M. Sakaguchi and K. Yoshida, “Dirichlet branes of the covariant open supermembrane in  $\text{AdS}_4 \times \text{S}^7$  and  $\text{AdS}_7 \times \text{S}^4$ ,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **681** (2004) 137 [arXiv:hep-th/0310035].
- [24] M. Sakaguchi and K. Yoshida, “Open M-branes on  $\text{AdS}_{4/7} \times \text{S}^{7/4}$  revisited,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **714** (2005) 51 [arXiv:hep-th/0405109].
- [25] M. Sakaguchi and K. Yoshida, “Dirichlet branes of the covariant open supermembrane on a pp-wave background,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **676** (2004) 311 [arXiv:hep-th/0306213].
- [26] K. Sugiyama and K. Yoshida, “Supermembrane on the pp-wave background,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **644** (2002) 113 [arXiv:hep-th/0206070].
- [27] S. Hyun, J. Park and H. Shin, “Covariant description of D-branes in IIA plane-wave background,” *Phys. Lett. B* **559** (2003) 80 [arXiv:hep-th/0212343].
- [28] K. Sugiyama and K. Yoshida, “Type IIA string and matrix string on pp-wave,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **644** (2002) 128 [arXiv:hep-th/0208029];  
S. Hyun and H. Shin, “ $\text{N} = (4,4)$  type IIA string theory on pp-wave background,” *JHEP* **0210** (2002) 070 [arXiv:hep-th/0208074].
- [29] M. J. Duff, P. S. Howe, T. Inami and K. S. Stelle, “Superstrings In  $D = 10$  From Supermembranes In  $D = 11$ ,” *Phys. Lett. B* **191** (1987) 70.
- [30] R. R. Metsaev and A. A. Tseytlin, “Type IIB superstring action in  $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$  background,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **533** (1998) 109 [arXiv:hep-th/9805028].
- [31] R. Penrose, “Any spacetime has a plane wave as a limit,” *Differential geometry and relativity*, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1976, pp. 271-275;  
R. Gueven, “Plane wave limits and T-duality,” *Phys. Lett. B* **482** (2000) 255 [arXiv:hep-th/0005061];  
M. Blau, J. Figueroa-O’Farrill, C. Hull and G. Papadopoulos, “Penrose limits and maximal supersymmetry,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19** (2002) L87 [arXiv:hep-th/0201081].
- [32] M. Hatsuda, K. Kamimura and M. Sakaguchi, “Nondegenerate super-anti-de Sitter algebra and a superstring action,” *Phys. Rev. D* **62** (2000) 105024 [arXiv:hep-th/0007009].



- [33] M. Hatsuda and M. Sakaguchi, “Wess-Zumino term for AdS superstring,” Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 045020 [arXiv:hep-th/0205092]; “Wess-Zumino term for the AdS superstring and generalized Inoenue-Wigner contraction,” Prog. Theor. Phys. **109** (2003) 853 [arXiv:hep-th/0106114];  
A. M. Polyakov, “Conformal fixed points of unidentified gauge theories,” Mod. Phys. Lett. A **19** (2004) 1649 [arXiv:hep-th/0405106].
- [34] R. Roiban and W. Siegel, “Superstrings on  $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$  supertwistor space,” JHEP **0011** (2000) 024 [arXiv:hep-th/0010104].
- [35] N. Berkovits, C. Vafa and E. Witten, “Conformal field theory of AdS background with Ramond-Ramond flux,” JHEP **9903** (1999) 018 [arXiv:hep-th/9902098];  
N. Berkovits, M. Bershadsky, T. Hauer, S. Zhukov and B. Zwiebach, “Superstring theory on  $\text{AdS}_2 \times \text{S}^2$  as a coset supermanifold,” Nucl. Phys. B **567** (2000) 61 [arXiv:hep-th/9907200].
- [36] I. Bena, J. Polchinski and R. Roiban, “Hidden symmetries of the  $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$  superstring,” Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 046002 [arXiv:hep-th/0305116].
- [37] M. Hatsuda and K. Yoshida, “Classical integrability and super Yangian of superstring on  $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$ ,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **9** (2005) 703 [arXiv:hep-th/0407044].
- [38] M. Hatsuda, K. Kamimura and M. Sakaguchi, “From super- $\text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5$  algebra to super-pp-wave algebra,” Nucl. Phys. B **632** (2002) 114 [arXiv:hep-th/0202190];  
“Super-PP-wave algebra from super- $\text{AdS} \times \text{S}$  algebras in eleven-dimensions,” Nucl. Phys. B **637** (2002) 168 [arXiv:hep-th/0204002].
- [39] See for example, N. Ohta and P. K. Townsend, “Supersymmetry of M-branes at angles,” Phys. Lett. B **418** (1998) 77 [arXiv:hep-th/9710129], and references thereof.
- [40] D. Arean and A. V. Ramallo, “Open string modes at brane intersections,” arXiv:hep-th/0602174.
- [41] N. Ohta, K. L. Panigrahi and S. Siwach, “Intersecting branes in pp-wave spacetime,” Nucl. Phys. B **674** (2003) 306 [arXiv:hep-th/0306186].
- [42] Y. Hikida and S. Yamaguchi, “D-branes in pp-waves and massive theories on worldsheet with boundary,” JHEP **0301** (2003) 072 [arXiv:hep-th/0210262];  
M. R. Gaberdiel, M. B. Green, S. Schafer-Nameki and A. Sinha, “Oblique

- and curved D-branes in IIB plane-wave string theory,” JHEP **0310** (2003) 052 [arXiv:hep-th/0306056].
- [43] T. Mattik, “Branes in the plane wave background with gauge field condensates,” JHEP **0506** (2005) 041 [arXiv:hep-th/0501088].
- [44] B. H. Lee, J. w. Lee, C. Park and H. S. Yang, “More on supersymmetric D-branes in type IIB plane wave background,” JHEP **0601** (2006) 015 [arXiv:hep-th/0506091].
- [45] G. Sarkissian and M. Zamaklar, “Diagonal D-branes in product spaces and their Penrose limits,” JHEP **0403** (2004) 005 [arXiv:hep-th/0308174].
- [46] M. Sakaguchi and K. Yoshida, “Noncommutative M-branes in flat space time,” in preparation.
- [47] M. Sakaguchi and K. Yoshida, “Noncommutative M-branes in AdS and pp-wave,” in preparation.